

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,363	11/19/2003	Robert Fischer	071308.0487	7747
31625 7590 04/10/2007 BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. PATENT DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
			SAFAIPOUR, BOBBAK	
98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500 AUSTIN, TX 78701-4039			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/717,363	FISCHER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bobbak Safaipour	2618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become AB ANDONE	N. nety filed the mailting date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE	Transmittal on 2/21/2007.				
	<u> </u>				
, 					
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.	·				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •				
application from the International Bureau	· •	ou in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.			
	o. the continue copies necrosolve	· - ·			
Attachment(s)	A) \[\begin{align*} L-A:	(DTO 44.3)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/7/2006 and 11/19/2003	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/21/2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitations "said first device" and "said second device" on lines 1-2.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. A previous recitation of a first and second device cannot be found in claim 1.

Claim 9 recites the limitations "said first device" and "said second device" on lines 1-2.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. A previous recitation of a first and second device cannot be found in claim 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 6-7, 9-11, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by O'Conner et al (US 2002/0177406 A1).

Consider claim 1, O'Conner et al disclose a method for receiving first and further signals using a receiver, the first and further signals differing in at least one of the transmission parameters: data rate, modulation type, wake-up criterion, synchronization and threshold (paragraphs 9, 10 and 13; read as the receiver receives first and second signals each in different formats), comprising the steps of: a) in a first step in a quiescent mode (paragraphs 10, 31; read as substantially stationary) of the receiver, performing receiving and searching for a first wake-

up criterion (abstract, figure 6, paragraphs 13, 14, 33-35, 40; read as wake up pattern) intermittently using a first preset adjustable configuration of transmission parameters tuned for receiving the first wake-up criterion with a first data rate and/or a first modulation type and/or a first threshold (figure 6, paragraphs 13, 14, 33-35, 40; read as receiver includes ASK and FSK mode wherein it can be used for both devices) b) when the first wake up criterion is not received or found (figure 2; paragraphs 15, 39-40; read as ASK alerts the receiver assembly to incoming FSK transmission) in said quiescent mode, switching the receiver to at least one further configuration different from said first preset adjustable configuration and tuned for receiving a second wake-up criterion and searching for the second wake-up criterion (figure 2, paragraphs 15, 39-40; read as causes the receiver assembly to switch to FSK mode), and c) if said first or second wake-up criterion has been received in step a) or b), switching the receiver into an active mode with a respectively selected configuration (paragraph 9).

Consider claim 6, O'Conner et al disclose a receiver for receiving first signals and further signals comprising a storage device for loading at least two different pre-definable receive configurations (paragraphs 9, 10 and 13; read as the receiver receives first and second signals each in different formats), wherein the receiver has a quiescent mode (paragraphs 10, 31; read as substantially stationary) in which it intermittently receives and searches for a first wake-up criterion (read as wake up pattern; abstract, figure 6, paragraphs 13, 14, 33-35, 40) using a first preset adjustable configuration of transmission parameters (read as receiver includes ASK and FSK mode wherein it can be used for both devices; abstract, figure 6, paragraphs 13, 14, 33-35, 40), and the receiver comprises a changeover switch in order to switch to at least one further

second configuration different from said first configuration when the first wake-up criterion is not found, and to search for a second wake-up criterion (figure 2, paragraphs 15, 39-40; read as ASK alerts the receiver assembly to incoming transmission and causes the receiver assembly to switch to FSK mode), wherein the receiver is operable to switch into an active mode with said first or second configuration, respectively in case of a successful reception of said first or second wake-up criterion (paragraph 9).

Page 5

Consider claim 10, Desai et al disclose a motor vehicle comprising: a receiver for receiving first signals and further signals comprising a storage device for loading at least two different pre-definable receive configurations (paragraphs 9, 10 and 13; read as the receiver receives first and second signals each in different formats), a first device coupled with said receiver (paragraph 25; read as tire pressure monitor system), a second device coupled with said receiver (paragraph 25; read as remote keyless entry system), wherein the receiver is operable to operate in a quiescent mode (paragraphs 10, 31; read as substantially stationary) in which it intermittently receives and searches for a first wake-up criterion (read as wake up pattern; abstract, figure 6, paragraphs 13, 14, 33-35, 40) using a first adjustable configuration of transmission parameters (read as receiver includes ASK and FSK mode wherein it can be used for both devices; abstract, figure 6, paragraphs 13, 14, 33-35, 40), and wherein the receiver comprises a changeover switch in order to switch to at least a second preset adjustable configuration different from said first preset adjustable configuration when no signal is received and the first wake-up criterion is not found using said first preset adjustable configuration, and to search for a second wake-up criterion (figure 2, paragraphs 15, 39-40; read as ASK alerts the

receiver assembly to incoming transmission and causes the receiver assembly to switch to FSK

mode), wherein the receiver is operable to switch into an active mode with said first or second

preset adjustable configuration, respectively in case of a successful reception of said first or

second wake-up criterion (paragraph 9).

Consider claim 2, and as applies to claim 1 above, O'Conner et al further disclose

wherein when no signal is received and no wake-up criterion is found using at least one further

configuration, the process starts again with step a) (figure 2, paragraphs 15, 39-40)

Consider claim 3, as applied to claim to 1, O'Conner et al disclose that the first device

is a remote keyless entry system and said second device is a tire pressure monitoring system

(paragraph 25).

Consider claim 4, and as applies to claim 1 above, O'Conner et al disclose that wherein

on receiving successfully and finding a wake-up criterion by step a) or b), the receiver goes out

of the quiescent mode into an active mode using the configuration that was successful for the

reception concerned. (paragraph 9)

Consider claim 7, and as applied to claim 6 above, O'Conner et al disclose wherein the

receiver has an active mode that the receiver goes into when reception is successful and a wake-

up criterion has been found using the configuration that was successful for the reception

concerned. (paragraph 9)

Consider claim 9, as applied to claim to 6, O'Conner et al disclose that the first device

Page 7

is a remote keyless entry system and said second device is a tire pressure monitoring system

(paragraph 25).

Consider claim 11, and as applied to claim 10 above, O'Conner et al disclose wherein

the receiver has an active mode that the receiver goes into when reception is successful and a

wake-up criterion has been found using the configuration that was successful for the reception

concerned. (paragraph 9)

Consider claim 13, as applied to claim to 6, O'Conner et al disclose that the first device

is a remote keyless entry system and said second device is a tire pressure monitoring system

(paragraph 25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPO 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art. 1.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue. 2.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art. 3.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness 4. or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 5, 8, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Conner et al (US 2002/0177406 A1) in further view of Uber et al (US Patent #4,633,515).

Consider claim 5, and as applied to claim 1 above, O'Conner et al disclose the claimed invention except for mentioning that receiving and detection of a wake up criterion must take place within a preset time.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,363

Art Unit: 2618

In the same field of endeavor, Uber et al. disclose mentioning that receiving and detection of a wake up criterion must take place within a preset time. (figure 1; abstract; column 3 line 58 – column 4 line 4).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the timing scheme taught by Uber et al. into the system of O'Conner et al, such that when the communication devicedid not receive a wake up signal and can not detect any wake up criterion within a preset time, it switches to another mode and tries to receive the wake up signal and detect the wake up criterion, for the purpose of further reducing current draw.

Consider claim 8, and as applied to claim 6 above, O'Conner et al disclose the claimed invention except for wherein the receiver has a time-control unit so that the switchover using the changeover switch occurs within a preset time at the latest.

In the same field of endeavor, Uber et al. disclose the receiver has a time-control unit so that the switchover using the changeover switch occurs within a preset time at the latest. (figure 1; abstract; column 3 line 58 – column 4 line 4).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the timing scheme taught by Uber et al. into the system of O'Conner et al, such that when the communication devicedid not receive a wake up signal and can not detect any wake up criterion within a preset time, it switches to another mode and tries to receive the wake up signal and detect the wake up criterion, for the purpose of further reducing current draw.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,363 Page 10

Art Unit: 2618

Consider claim 12, and as applied to claim 10 above, Rotzoll, as modified by Desai et

al, disclose the claimed invention except for wherein the receiver has a time-control unit so that

the switchover using the changeover switch occurs within a preset time at the latest.

In the same field of endeavor, Uber et al. disclose the receiver has a time-control unit so

that the switchover using the changeover switch occurs within a preset time at the latest. (figure

1; abstract; column 3 line 58 – column 4 line 4).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to incorporate the timing scheme taught by Uber et al. into the system of

O'Conner et al, such that when the communication devicedid not receive a wake up signal and

can not detect any wake up criterion within a preset time, it switches to another mode and tries to

receive the wake up signal and detect the wake up criterion, for the purpose of further reducing

current draw.

Conclusion

Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window

Randolph Building

Application/Control Number: 10/717,363 Page 11

Art Unit: 2618

401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Bobbak Safaipour whose telephone number is (571) 270-1092. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Edan Orgad can be reached on (571) 272-7884. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-3028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Bobbak Safaipour'

B.S./bs

March 29, 2007

EDAN ORGAD
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Teles Argul 3/31/07